

22 J. Land Use & Envtl. L. 243 (2006-2007)
Broadening Narrow Perspectives and Nuisance Law: Protecting Ecosystem Services in the ACF Basin;
Abrams, Robert Haskell

[Purchase Short-Term Access to HeinOnline](#)

[Prices starting as low as \\$29.95](#)

Short-term subscription options include access for 24 hours, 48 hours or 1 week to HeinOnline's Law Journal Library. This includes access to more than 1,450 Law Journals

Prices starting as low as \$29.95!



Already a Subscriber?

[Login to HeinOnline](#)

[What Is HeinOnline?](#)

[Learn More About the Law Journal Library \(pdf\)](#)

We also offer annual subscriptions to universities, colleges, law firms, organizations, and other institutions. To request a quote please visit <http://home.heinonline.org/subscriptions/request-quote/>

Please note: the content in the Law Journal Library is constantly changing and some content has restrictions as required per the license. Therefore, please review the available content via the following link to ensure the material you wish to access is included in the database. For a complete list of content included in the Law Journal Library, please view <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/CSV.csv?index=journals&collection=journals>

BROADENING NARROW PERSPECTIVES AND NUISANCE LAW: PROTECTING ECOSYSTEM SERVICES IN THE ACF BASIN

ROBERT HASKELL ABRAMS¹

I.	INTRODUCTION	243
II.	DECISIONS REGARDING THE ACF BASIN	246
	A. <i>Interstate Compacts as a Mechanism for Comprehensive Basin Management</i>	247
	B. <i>"Management" by Mission-Driven Agencies</i>	251
	C. <i>Management by Single-State Authority</i>	255
III.	STACKING THE DECK AGAINST THE ACF LOWER BASIN	
	NON-DEVELOPMENTAL USES	262
	A. <i>The Physical Deck</i>	262
	B. <i>The Early 20th Century Legal Deck</i>	263
	C. <i>The Late 20th Century Legal Deck</i>	265
	D. <i>The Federal Regulatory Deck</i>	269
	E. <i>The Political Deck</i>	270
IV.	CREATING A "LAW OF RIVER" FOR THE ACF THAT VALUES ECOSYSTEM SERVICES.....	272
	A. <i>Common Law Nuisance on the Larger Interstate Stage</i>	273
	B. <i>Balancing the Equities</i>	275
	C. <i>State as Interstate Nuisance Complainants</i>	278
	D. <i>Preemption and Non-Preemption of Interstate Nuisance Remedies</i>	288
V.	CONCLUSIONS.....	295

I. INTRODUCTION

The political stalemate among the neighboring states of Georgia, Alabama, and Florida over the cooperative management of the Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint (ACF) River Basin has been chronicled in numerous articles in the past.² Along with the

1. Professor of Law, Florida A & M University College of Law. The author would like to thank several friends and colleagues for their helpful comments on drafts and for ideas that have found their way into this article. They are Pam Bush, Noah Hall, Zyg Plater, and J. B. Ruhl. The author also wishes to thank Nicolette Tsambis, FAMU College of Law, Class of 2008, for her research assistance and the Florida A & M University College of Law for the research grant that supported this work.

2. See, e.g., Charles DuMars & David Seeley, *The Failure of the Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint River Basin and Alabama-Coosa-Tallapoosa River Basin Compacts and a Guide to the Successful Establishment of Interstate Water Compacts*, 21 GA. ST. U. L. REV. 373 (2004); Carl Erhardt, *The Battle over "The Hooch": The Federal-Interstate Water Com-*